

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,799	03/22/2006	Dimitri Lederer	LEDE3001/JEK	4919
23364 BACON & TI	7590 HOMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			SLUTSKER, JULIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2891	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/572,799
 LEDERER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JULIA SLUTSKER
 2891

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):
(1) Julia Slutsker (Examiner).	(3) Jeanne A. Di Grazio (Applicant's Representative).
(2) Asok Sarkar (Primary Examiner).	(4)
Date of Interview: January 15, 2009.	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 20-38.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Aunerton-Herve, US	<u>S 2003/0129780</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
to overcome 112 rejections have been discussed. The and possibility to overcome the prior art rejection. The analysis been discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where reallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attact. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONG	ms 20, 21, 31, and 32 and possible amendments to the claims claims 21 and 32 have been discussed in light of the prior art arguments presented in Applicant's Respose of August 1, 2008 endments which the examiner agreed would render the claims to copy of the amendments that would render the claims ched.) SE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS ER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
/Asok K. Sarkar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2891	January 15, 2009